

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [A303 Stonehenge](#)  
**Subject:** Stonehenge tunnel  
**Date:** 01 April 2022 17:15:27

---

The Stonehenge tunnel would be detrimental to this unique World Heritage site and would produce a larger carbon footprint (which I thought government was trying to reduce) than an open Southern route, which would be quicker and cheaper to build.

National Highways needs to update the cost and environmental concerns since the 2019 proposals.

A cheaper southern route is the way forward and would cause less traffic disruption while it is being built. It has to be beneficial in the long term which would disperse carbon monoxide emissions and has the benefit of tree planting along the route.

Any road improvement increases carbon emissions with an increase in traffic and these would be concentrated at the entrance/exits and at any venting from the tunnel.

Alternative routes would also have to be put in place while a tunnel was being constructed. Any hold ups at either end of a tunnel could cause queuing within the tunnel which would also affect the health of motorists from exhaust emissions.

In my view, an alternative would be to leave the A303 passing Stonehenge as it is, remove the Long barrow roundabout and replace it with an overbridge and slip roads for the A360 further to the West to aid traffic flow.

Lionel O'Dell. [REDACTED]